

## MULTIDIMENSIONAL COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS IN DISLOCATED METRIC SPACES

DEEPA KARICHERY AND SHAINI PULICKAKUNNEL

---

ABSTRACT. Motivated by the  $F$ -contraction introduced by Wardowski [Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2012**, 2012:94], we introduce three types of multidimensional Ciric-type rational  $F$ -contractions for multivalued mappings in dislocated metric spaces. Using these contractions, we establish fixed points of  $N$ -order for multivalued mappings. Our result generalizes the main result obtained by Rasham et al. [J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **20** (2018), no. 1, Paper no. 45].

---

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The fundamental theorem in metric fixed point theory is the well-known Banach contraction principle [8], introduced by the Polish mathematician S. Banach in 1922. Several authors extended and generalized this theorem by weakening the contractive condition and by generalizing the metric space in various ways. In 2012 Wardowski [27] introduced a new type of contraction mapping known as  $F$ -contraction, and using it he proved a fixed point theorem that generalizes the Banach contraction principle. After that, Secelean [24] replaced the second condition of  $F$ -contraction by an equivalent but simpler condition and proved a couple of fixed point theorems. As an application he investigated an iterative function system composed of  $F$ -contractions and extended some results in the literature. Then Abbas et al. [1] introduced common fixed point theorems for  $F$ -contractions and proved period point results for  $F$ -contractions as an application of their results. Afterwards, a generalized multivalued  $F$ -contraction mapping was introduced by Acar et al. [2], who established a few fixed point results. The study on multivalued  $F$ -contraction was further explored in [3, 25]. More results on  $F$ -contraction and related fixed point theorems are found in [4, 12, 14, 17, 28].

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 37C25, 47H09, 47H10.

*Key words and phrases*. Fixed point of  $N$ -order,  $F$ -contraction, dislocated metric space, multivalued fixed points.

Deepa Karichery sincerely acknowledges the financial support in the form of a fellowship from the Kerala State Council for Science, Technology and Environment (KSCSTE).

Dislocated metric spaces are a generalization of partial metric spaces [15]. They were introduced by Hitzler and Seda in 2000 [11]. In 2012 Amini-Harandi [7] reintroduced dislocated metric spaces by a different name, as metric-like spaces, and proved fixed point theorems using various contractions in complete metric-like spaces. In 2015, Karapinar et al. [14] introduced conditionally  $F$ -contraction mappings and proved fixed point theorems in complete metric-like spaces. In the same year, Alsulami et al. [6] introduced modified  $F$ -contractive mappings in complete metric-like spaces and proved existence and uniqueness of fixed points of such mappings. Very recently, Rasham et al. [20] established common fixed point theorems for new Ciric-type rational multivalued  $F$ -contractions in dislocated metric spaces and solved Volterra-type integral equations as an application of these results.

The idea of a fixed point for the map  $T : X^N \rightarrow X$  was introduced by Presic in 1965 [18, 19]. Later, in 2010, Samet and Vetro [22] introduced a nice definition of fixed points of  $N$ -order, which are a generalized version of the fixed points introduced by Presic. After that, many authors redefined this concept with several names and established several fixed point results [5, 9, 13, 16, 21]. Generally this type of fixed point theorems are known as multidimensional fixed point theorems. Multidimensional fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings are introduced by Deshpande and Handa [10]. Sawangsup et al. [23] introduced the concept of  $F_{\mathcal{R}}$ -contraction and proved several fixed point theorems, which are generalizations of the results obtained by Wardowski. They also proved corresponding multidimensional fixed point theorems using  $F_{\mathcal{R}^N}$ -contractions.

In this paper we introduce three types of multidimensional Ciric-type rational  $F$ -contractions. Using these contractions we prove results on multivalued fixed points of  $N$ -order in dislocated metric spaces.

Now we recall some definitions and results that will be necessary for our proofs.

**Definition 1.1** ([11]). Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. A mapping  $d_l : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said to be a *dislocated metric* on  $X$  if, for every  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

- (i)  $d_l(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$ ;
- (ii)  $d_l(x, y) = d_l(y, x)$ ;
- (iii)  $d_l(x, y) \leq d_l(x, z) + d_l(z, y)$ .

Then the pair  $(X, d_l)$  is said to be a *dislocated metric space*.

**Example 1.2.** If  $X = \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  then  $d_l(x, y) = x + y$  defines a dislocated metric  $d_l$  on  $X$ .

**Definition 1.3** ([11]). Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a dislocated metric space.

- (i) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $(X, d_l)$  *dislocated-converges* to  $x \in X$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_l(x_n, x) = 0$ .
- (ii) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be a *dislocated Cauchy sequence* if, given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n, m \geq n_0$  we have  $d_l(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$  or  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d_l(x_n, x_m) = 0$ .
- (iii) A dislocated metric space  $(X, d_l)$  is said to be *complete* if every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  converges to a point  $x \in X$  and  $d_l(x, x) = 0$ .

**Definition 1.4** ([26]). Let  $K$  be a non-empty subset of a dislocated metric space  $X$  and let  $x \in X$ . An element  $y_0 \in K$  is called a *best approximation* in  $K$  if

$$d_l(x, K) = d_l(x, y_0), \quad \text{where } d_l(x, K) = \inf_{y \in K} d_l(x, y).$$

If each  $x \in X$  has at least one best approximation in  $K$ , then  $K$  is called a *proximal set*. We denote by  $P(X)$  the set of all closed proximal subsets of  $X$ .

**Definition 1.5** ([26]). The function  $H_{d_l} : P(X) \times P(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , defined by

$$H_{d_l}(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} d_l(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d_l(A, b) \right\}$$

is called a *dislocated Hausdorff metric* on  $P(X)$ .

**Definition 1.6** ([27]). Let the function  $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the following properties:

- (F<sub>1</sub>)  $F$  is strictly increasing, i.e., for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , if  $x < y$  then  $F(x) < F(y)$ .
- (F<sub>2</sub>) For all sequences  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of positive numbers,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n) = -\infty$ .
- (F<sub>3</sub>) There exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^k F(x) = 0$ .

A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be an  $F$ -contraction if there exists  $\tau > 0$  such that, for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$d(Tx, Ty) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y)). \tag{1.1}$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the set of all mappings satisfying the conditions (F<sub>1</sub>)–(F<sub>3</sub>).

**Example 1.7** ([27]). Let  $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by the formula  $F(x) = \ln x$ . It is clear that  $F$  satisfies (F<sub>1</sub>)–(F<sub>3</sub>). Then each self mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  satisfying (1.1) is an  $F$ -contraction such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq e^{-\tau} d(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X, Tx \neq Ty.$$

**Definition 1.8** ([10]). Let  $X$  be a non-empty set,  $T : X^N \rightarrow 2^X$  (a collection of all non-empty subsets of  $X$ ). An element  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \in X^N$  is said to be an  $N$ -tupled fixed point (or a fixed point of  $N$ -order) of the mapping  $T$  if

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &\in T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N), \\ x_2 &\in T(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_N, x_1), \\ &\vdots \\ x_N &\in T(x_N, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1.9** ([26]). Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a dislocated metric space. Let  $(P(X), H_{d_l})$  be a dislocated Hausdorff metric space on  $P(X)$ . Then for all  $A, B \in P(X)$  and for each  $a \in A$  there exists  $b_a \in B$  such that  $H_{d_l}(A, B) \geq d_l(a, b_a)$  for all  $d_l(a, B) = d_l(a, b_a)$ .

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we introduce three types of multidimensional Ciric-type rational  $F$ -contractions where  $F$  is sub-additive and prove multidimensional fixed point theorems in dislocated metric spaces.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a dislocated metric space and let  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  satisfy the sub-additive property, i.e., for a finite number  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N F(x_i)$ . Let  $S, T : X^N \rightarrow P(X)$  be two multivalued mappings. The pair  $(S, T)$  is said to be

- (i) a *multidimensional Ciric-type rational  $F$ -contraction of type I* if there exists  $\tau > 0$  such that

$$\frac{\tau}{N} + F(H_{d_l}(S_{x_i}, T_{y_i})) \leq \frac{1}{N} F\left(\max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, y_i), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, S_{x_i}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(y_i, T_{y_i}), \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, S_{x_i}) \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(y_i, T_{y_i})}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, y_i)} \right\}\right); \tag{2.1}$$

- (ii) a *multidimensional Ciric-type rational  $F$ -contraction of type II* if there exists  $\tau > 0$  such that

$$\frac{\tau}{N} + F(H_{d_l}(S_{x_i}, T_{y_i})) \leq \frac{1}{N} F\left(\max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, y_i), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, S_{x_i}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(y_i, T_{y_i}), \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(y_i, T_{y_i}) \left[1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, S_{x_i})\right]}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, y_i)} \right\}\right); \tag{2.2}$$

- (iii) a *multidimensional Ciric-type rational  $F$ -contraction of type III* if there exists  $\tau > 0$  such that

$$\frac{\tau}{2N} + F(H_{d_l}(S_{x_i}, T_{y_i})) \leq \frac{1}{2N} F\left(\max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, y_i), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, T_{y_i}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(y_i, S_{x_i}), \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, T_{y_i}) \left[1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(y_i, S_{x_i})\right]}{1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, y_i)} \right\}\right), \tag{2.3}$$

where the inequalities hold for every  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N) \in X^N$ ,  $S_{x_i} = S(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_N, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1})$ , and  $T_{y_i} = T(y_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_N, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{i-1})$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a dislocated metric space. Define  $D_l : X^N \times X^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $D_l(A, B) = \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(a_i, b_i)$  for all  $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N), B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_N) \in X^N$ . Then the following properties are satisfied:*

- (i)  $(X^N, D_l)$  is also a dislocated metric space.
- (ii) Let  $\{x^n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  and denote a sequence in  $X^N$  by  $\{U^n\}$ , where  $U^n = (x_1^n, x_2^n, \dots, x_N^n)$ . Then  $\{U^n\} \xrightarrow{D_l} U = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$  if and only if  $\{x_i^n\} \xrightarrow{d_l} x_i$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .
- (iii) The sequence  $\{U^n = (x_1^n, x_2^n, \dots, x_N^n)\}$  is  $D_l$ -Cauchy if and only if each  $\{x_i^n\}_{i=1}^N$  is Cauchy in  $(X, d_l)$ .
- (iv)  $(X^N, D_l)$  is complete if and only if  $(X, d_l)$  is complete.

*Proof.* We can prove the properties easily using definition of  $D_l$  and properties of  $d_l$ . □

Throughout this paper we denote

$$S_{x_i^j} = S(x_i^j, x_{i+1}^j, \dots, x_N^j, x_1^j, x_2^j, \dots, x_{i-1}^j)$$

and

$$T_{x_i^j} = T(x_i^j, x_{i+1}^j, \dots, x_N^j, x_1^j, x_2^j, \dots, x_{i-1}^j),$$

for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $(x_i^j, x_{i+1}^j, \dots, x_N^j, x_1^j, x_2^j, \dots, x_{i-1}^j) \in X^N$ .

Now for proving our results first we construct a sequence in  $(X^N, D_l)$  as follows. Consider an arbitrary element  $(x_1^0, x_2^0, \dots, x_N^0) \in X^N$ . Construct the sequences  $\{x_i^n\}_{i=1}^N$  by taking  $x_i^1 \in S_{x_i^0}$  such that  $d_l(x_i^0, S_{x_i^0}) = d_l(x_i^0, x_i^1)$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ;  $x_i^2 \in T_{x_i^1}$  such that  $d_l(x_i^1, T_{x_i^1}) = d_l(x_i^1, x_i^2)$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ;  $x_i^3 \in S_{x_i^2}$  such that  $d_l(x_i^2, S_{x_i^2}) = d_l(x_i^2, x_i^3)$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Continuing this process we get the sequences  $\{(x_i^n)\}_{i=1}^N \in X$  with points  $x_i^{2n+1} \in S_{x_i^{2n}}$  and  $x_i^{2n+2} \in T_{x_i^{2n+1}}$  such that  $d_l(x_i^{2n}, S_{x_i^{2n}}) = d_l(x_i^{2n}, x_i^{2n+1})$  and  $d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, T_{x_i^{2n+1}}) = d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, x_i^{2n+2})$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Denote these sequences in  $X^N$  by  $\{TS(U^n)\}$  generated by  $(x_1^0, x_2^0, \dots, x_N^0)$ . Denote, for  $N = 1$ , the sequence constructed above as  $\{TS(x_n)\}$  (see [20]).

**Example 2.3.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  and  $D_l(A, B) = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i + y_i$ , where  $A = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$  and  $B = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N)$ . Define two mappings  $S$  and  $T$  as

$$S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = [x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_N, 2(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_N)]$$

and

$$T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = \left[ \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_N}{2N}, \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_N}{N} \right].$$

Suppose that  $N = 2$  and consider  $(0, 1)$  is an arbitrary element in  $X^2$ . Then we can construct the sequence  $\{TS(U^n)\} = \{(0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \dots), (1, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots)\}$  generated by  $(0, 1)$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space and let  $(S, T)$  be a pair of multidimensional Ciric-type rational  $F$ -contractions of type I. Then  $\{TS(U^n)\} \xrightarrow{D_l} U \in X^N$ . Moreover, if (2.1) also holds for  $U$ , then  $U$  is the common fixed point of  $N$ -order of the mappings  $S$  and  $T$  and  $D_l(U, U) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* If

$$\max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, y_i), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, Sx_i), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(y_i, Ty_i), \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, Sx_i) \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(y_i, Ty_i)}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, y_i)} \right\} = 0,$$

then clearly  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N)$  is a common fixed point of  $N$ -order of  $S$  and  $T$ .

Now consider the case

$$\max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, y_i), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, Sx_i), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(y_i, Ty_i), \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, Sx_i) \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(y_i, Ty_i)}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, y_i)} \right\} > 0$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N) \in \{TS(U^n)\}$ , with  $x_i \neq y_i$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Using the contraction condition and Lemma 1.9, we get, for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & F(d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2})) \\ & \leq F(H_{d_l}(S_{x_i^{2j}}, T_{x_i^{2j+1}})) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{N} F \left( \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, S_{x_i^{2j}}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, T_{x_i^{2j+1}}), \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, S_{x_i^{2j}}) \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, T_{x_i^{2j+1}})}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1})} \right\} \right) - \frac{\tau}{N} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{N} F \left( \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}), \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2}), \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}) \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2})}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1})} \right\} \right) - \frac{\tau}{N} \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} F \left( \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2}) \right\} \right) - \frac{\tau}{N}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If

$$\max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2}) \right\} = \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2})$$

then

$$F(d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2})) \leq \frac{1}{N} F \left( \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2}) \right) - \frac{\tau}{N}, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Adding the above inequalities we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^N F(d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2})) \leq F \left( \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2}) \right) - \tau.$$

Since  $F$  is sub-additive,

$$F \left( \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2}) \right) \leq F \left( \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2}) \right) - \tau,$$

which gives a contradiction. Therefore,

$$\max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2}) \right\} = \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}).$$

Then

$$F(d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2})) \leq \frac{1}{N} F \left( \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}) \right) - \frac{\tau}{N}, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Then by adding the above inequalities and by applying the sub-additive property of  $F$  we get

$$F \left( \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2}) \right) \leq F \left( \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}) \right) - \tau. \tag{2.4}$$

Similarly, we get

$$F \left( \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}) \right) \leq F \left( \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j-1}, x_i^{2j}) \right) - \tau. \tag{2.5}$$

Using (2.5) in (2.4) we get

$$F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2})\right) \leq F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j-1}, x_i^{2j})\right) - 2\tau.$$

Repeating the above steps we get

$$F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2})\right) \leq F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^0, x_i^1)\right) - (2j+1)\tau, \quad (2.6)$$

$$F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1})\right) \leq F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^0, x_i^1)\right) - 2j\tau. \quad (2.7)$$

Combining (2.6) and (2.7) we get

$$F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^n, x_i^{n+1})\right) \leq F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^0, x_i^1)\right) - n\tau. \quad (2.8)$$

Taking limit on both sides we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^n, x_i^{n+1})\right) = -\infty.$$

Using (F<sub>2</sub>),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^n, x_i^{n+1}) = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

By (F<sub>3</sub>) there exists a  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^n, x_i^{n+1}) \right]^k F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^n, x_i^{n+1})\right) = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Using (2.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^n, x_i^{n+1}) \right]^k \left[ F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^n, x_i^{n+1})\right) - F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^0, x_i^1)\right) \right] \\ \leq -n\tau \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^n, x_i^{n+1}) \right]^k \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit and using (2.9) and (2.10) we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^n, x_i^{n+1}) \right]^k = 0.$$

Using the definition of convergence, there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$n \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^n, x_i^{n+1}) \right]^k \leq 1, \quad \forall n \geq n_1,$$

or

$$\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^n, x_i^{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{k}}}, \quad \forall n \geq n_1.$$

Now, for  $m > n$ ,

$$d_l(x_i^n, x_i^m) \leq d_l(x_i^n, x_i^{n+1}) + d_l(x_i^{n+1}, x_i^{n+2}) + \dots + d_l(x_i^{m-1}, x_i^m), \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Adding the inequalities for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^n, x_i^m) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^n, x_i^{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{n+1}, x_i^{n+2}) + \dots + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{m-1}, x_i^m) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \dots + \frac{1}{(m-1)^{\frac{1}{k}}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \dots \\ &= \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{k}}}. \end{aligned}$$

The convergence of the series  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{k}}}$  implies that  $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^n, x_i^m) = 0$ , i.e.,  $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} d_l(x_i^n, x_i^m) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , i.e.,  $\{x_i^n\}_{i=1}^N$  are Cauchy sequences in  $X$ . Since  $(X, d_l)$  is a complete dislocated metric space, there exist  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N \in X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_l(x_i^n, x_i) = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N. \tag{2.11}$$

So by Lemma 2.2,  $\{TS(U^n)\} \xrightarrow{D_l} U$ , where  $U = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ .

Now, by Lemma 1.9, we have

$$\frac{\tau}{N} + F(d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, T x_i)) \leq \frac{\tau}{N} + F(H_{d_l}(S_{x_i^{2n}}, T x_i)), \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Since the contraction holds for  $U$ , for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\tau}{N} + F(d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, T x_i)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} F \left( \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n}, x_i), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n}, S_{x_i^{2n}}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, T x_i), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n}, S_{x_i^{2n}}) \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, T x_i)}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n}, x_i)} \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} F \left( \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2^n}, x_i), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2^n}, x_i^{2^{n+1}}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, T_{x_i}), \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2^n}, x_i^{2^{n+1}}) \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, T_{x_i})}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2^n}, x_i)} \right\} \right).$$

Adding the above inequalities for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  and using the sub-additive property of  $F$  we get

$$\tau + F \left( \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2^{n+1}}, T_{x_i}) \right) \leq F \left( \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2^n}, x_i), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2^n}, x_i^{2^{n+1}}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, T_{x_i}), \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2^n}, x_i^{2^{n+1}}) \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, T_{x_i})}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2^n}, x_i)} \right\} \right).$$

Since  $\tau > 0$ ,

$$F \left( \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2^{n+1}}, T_{x_i}) \right) \leq F \left( \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2^n}, x_i), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2^n}, x_i^{2^{n+1}}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, T_{x_i}), \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2^n}, x_i^{2^{n+1}}) \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, T_{x_i})}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2^n}, x_i)} \right\} \right).$$

Using (F<sub>1</sub>), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2^{n+1}}, T_{x_i}) < \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2^n}, x_i), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2^n}, x_i^{2^{n+1}}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, T_{x_i}), \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2^n}, x_i^{2^{n+1}}) \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, T_{x_i})}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2^n}, x_i)} \right\}.$$

Taking the limit and using equations (2.9) and (2.11) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, T_{x_i}) < \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, T_{x_i}), \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

which is a contradiction; therefore,  $d_l(x_i, T_{x_i}) = 0 \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , i.e., either  $x_i = T_{x_i}$  or  $x_i \in T_{x_i} \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .

Similarly, using Lemma 1.9 and the inequality

$$\frac{\tau}{N} + F(d_l(x_i^{2^{n+2}}, S_{x_i})) \leq \frac{\tau}{N} + F(H_{d_l}(T_{x_i^{2^{n+1}}}, S_{x_i})), \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

we get that  $U = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$  is a fixed point of  $N$ -order of the mapping  $S$ . Therefore  $U$  is a common fixed point of  $N$ -order of the mappings  $S$  and  $T$ .

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} D_l(U, U) &= \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, T_{x_i}) + d_l(T_{x_i}, x_i) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.4 is a generalization of the results obtained by Rasham et al. [20], which we state as a corollary.

**Corollary 2.5** ([20]). *Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space and  $(S, T)$  two mappings such that for all  $x, y \in \{TS(x_n)\}$  we have*

$$\tau + F(H_{d_l}(S_x, T_y)) \leq F\left(\max\left\{d_l(x, y), \frac{d_l(x, S_x) \cdot d_l(y, T_y)}{1 + d_l(x, y)}, d_l(x, S_x), d_l(y, T_y)\right\}\right), \tag{2.12}$$

where  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\tau > 0$ . Then  $\{TS(x_n)\} \rightarrow u \in X$ . Moreover, if  $u$  satisfies (2.12), then  $S$  and  $T$  have a common fixed point  $u$  in  $X$  and  $d_l(u, u) = 0$ .

*Proof.* If in Theorem 2.4 we take  $N = 1$  then we get the result. □

The following example illustrates Corollary 2.5.

**Example 2.6** ([20]). Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  and  $d_l(x, y) = x + y$ . Then  $(X, d_l)$  is a complete dislocated metric space. Define  $S, T : X \rightarrow P(X)$  as  $S(x) = [\frac{1}{3}x, \frac{2}{3}x]$  and  $T(x) = [\frac{1}{5}x, \frac{2}{5}x]$  for all  $x \in X$ . Define  $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as  $F(x) = \ln(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\tau > 0$ . As  $x, y \in X$  and  $\tau = \ln(1.2)$ , by taking  $x_0 = 7$  we define the sequence  $\{TS(x_n)\} = \{7, \frac{7}{3}, \frac{7}{15}, \frac{7}{45}, \dots\}$  in  $X$  generated by  $x_0 = 7$ . Then  $S$  and  $T$  satisfy (2.12) and so  $(S, T)$  have a common fixed point.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space and  $(S, T)$  a pair of multidimensional Ciric-type rational  $F$ -contractions of type II. Then  $\{TS(U^n)\} \xrightarrow{D_l} U \in X^N$ . Moreover, if (2.2) also holds for  $U$ , then  $S$  and  $T$  have a common fixed point of  $N$ -order  $U$  in  $X^N$  and  $D_l(U, U) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* If

$$\max\left\{\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, y_i), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, S_{x_i}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(y_i, T_{y_i}), \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(y_i, T_{y_i})\left[1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, S_{x_i})\right]}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, y_i)}\right\} = 0 \tag{2.13}$$

then clearly  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N)$  is a common fixed point of  $N$ -order of  $S$  and  $T$ .

If the left hand side of (2.13) is greater than zero, then using Lemma 1.9 and the contraction condition (2.2) we get, for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F(d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2})) \\
 & \leq F(H_{d_l}(S_{x_i^{2j}}, T_{x_i^{2j+1}})) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{N} F \left( \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, S_{x_i^{2j}}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, T_{x_i^{2j+1}}), \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, T_{x_i^{2j+1}}) \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, S_{x_i^{2j}}) \right]}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1})} \right\} \right) - \frac{\tau}{N} \\
 & = \frac{1}{N} F \left( \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2}), \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2}) \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}) \right]}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1})} \right\} \right) - \frac{\tau}{N} \\
 & = \frac{1}{N} F \left( \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2}) \right\} \right) - \frac{\tau}{N}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The remaining part of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.4.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space and let  $S, T : X \rightarrow P(X)$  be such that for every  $x, y \in \{TS(x_n)\}$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \tau + F(H_{d_l}(S_x, T_y)) \\
 & \leq F \left( \max \left\{ d_l(x, y), d_l(x, S_x), d_l(y, T_y), \frac{d_l(y, T_y)[1 + d_l(x, S_x)]}{1 + d_l(x, y)} \right\} \right), \quad (2.14)
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\tau > 0$ . Then  $\{TS(x_n)\} \rightarrow u \in X$ . Moreover, if  $u$  satisfies (2.14), then  $S$  and  $T$  have a common fixed point  $u$  in  $X$  and  $d_l(u, u) = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space and  $(S, T)$  a pair of multidimensional Ciric-type rational  $F$ -contractions of type III. Then  $\{TS(U^n)\} \xrightarrow{D_l} U \in X^N$ . Moreover, if (2.3) also holds for  $U$ , then  $S$  and  $T$  have a common fixed point of  $N$ -order  $U$  in  $X^N$  and  $D_l(U, U) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* If

$$\max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, y_i), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, T y_i), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(y_i, S x_i), \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, T y_i) \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(y_i, S x_i) \right]}{1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, y_i)} \right\} = 0 \quad (2.15)$$

then we get  $x_i = y_i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  and  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$  is a common fixed point of  $N$ -order of  $S$  and  $T$ .

If the left hand side of (2.15) is greater than zero, then using Lemma 1.9 and the contraction condition (2.3) we get, for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & F\left(d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2})\right) \\ & \leq F\left(H_{d_l}(S_{x_i^{2j}}, T_{x_i^{2j+1}})\right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2N} F\left(\max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, T_{x_i^{2j+1}}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, S_{x_i^{2j}}), \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, T_{x_i^{2j+1}}) \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, S_{x_i^{2j}}) \right]}{1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1})} \right\} \right) - \frac{\tau}{2N}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by applying the triangle inequality and by the definition of the sequence  $TS(U^n)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & F\left(d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2})\right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2N} F\left(\max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2}), 2 \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}) \right\} \right) \\ & \quad - \frac{\tau}{2N}. \end{aligned}$$

**Case 1:** If

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2}), 2 \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}) \right\} \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2}), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$F(d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2})) \leq \frac{1}{2N} F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2})\right) - \frac{\tau}{2N}.$$

Adding the above inequalities for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^N F(d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2})) \leq \frac{1}{2} F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2})\right) - \frac{\tau}{2}.$$

Applying the sub-additive property of  $F$  on both sides,

$$F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2})\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1})\right) + \frac{1}{2} F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2})\right) - \frac{\tau}{2},$$

i.e.,

$$F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2})\right) \leq F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1})\right) - \tau.$$

Following arguments similar to those in Theorem 2.4, we get that the sequences  $\{x_i^n\}_{i=1}^N$  are Cauchy sequences in  $X$ .

**Case 2:** If

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2}), 2 \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}) \right\} \\ = 2 \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1}) \end{aligned}$$

then

$$F(d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2})) \leq \frac{1}{2N} F\left(2 \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1})\right) - \frac{\tau}{2N}, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Adding the above sequence for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N F(d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2})) \leq \frac{1}{2} F\left(2 \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1})\right) - \frac{\tau}{2}.$$

Since  $F$  is sub-additive,

$$F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j+1}, x_i^{2j+2})\right) \leq F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2j}, x_i^{2j+1})\right) - \frac{\tau}{2}.$$

Following steps similar to those in Theorem 2.4, we get that the sequences  $\{x_i^n\}_{i=1}^N$  are Cauchy sequences in  $X$ .

Since  $(X, d_l)$  is a complete dislocated metric space, there exist  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_l(x_i^n, x_i) = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Therefore by Lemma 2.2,  $\{TS(U^n)\} \xrightarrow{D_l} U$ , where  $U = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ .

Now by Lemma 1.9 for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\tau}{2N} + F(d_l(x_i^{2n+2}, S_{x_i})) \\
 & \leq \frac{\tau}{2N} + F(H_{d_l}(T_{x_i^{2n+1}}, S_{x_i})) \\
 & = \frac{\tau}{2N} + F(H_{d_l}(S_{x_i}, T_{x_i^{2n+1}})) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2N} F\left( \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, T_{x_i^{2n+1}}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, S_{x_i}), \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, T_{x_i^{2n+1}}) \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, S_{x_i}) \right]}{1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1})} \right\} \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2N} F\left( \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l x_i, x_i^{2n+1}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, T_{x_i^{2n+1}}), \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, S_{x_i}), \\
 & \quad \left. \left. \frac{\left[ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, T_{x_i^{2n+1}}) \right] \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, S_{x_i}) \right]}{1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1})} \right\} \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2N} F\left( \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, x_i^{2n+2}), \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, S_{x_i}), \\
 & \quad \left. \left. \frac{\left[ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, x_i^{2n+2}) \right] \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, S_{x_i}) \right]}{1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1})} \right\} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Adding the above inequalities for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\tau}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^N F(d_l(x_i^{2n+2}, S_{x_i})) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2} F\left( \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, x_i^{2n+2}), \right. \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, S_{x_i}), \\ & \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, x_i^{2n+2}) \right] \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, S_{x_i}) \right] \end{aligned} \right\} \Bigg/ \left. \begin{aligned} & 1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}) \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Since  $\tau > 0$  and  $F$  is sub-additive we get

$$\begin{aligned} & F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+2}, S_{x_i})\right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} F\left(\max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, x_i^{2n+2}), \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, S_{x_i}), \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \frac{\left[ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, x_i^{2n+2}) \right] \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, S_{x_i}) \right]}{1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1})} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 F\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+2}, S_{x_i})\right) \\ & \leq F\left(\max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, x_i^{2n+2}), \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, S_{x_i}), \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \frac{\left[ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, x_i^{2n+2}) \right] \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, S_{x_i}) \right]}{1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1})} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the sub-additive property of  $F$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} & F\left(2 \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+2}, S_{x_i})\right) \\ & \leq F\left(\max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, x_i^{2n+2}), \right. \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, S_{x_i}), \\ & \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, x_i^{2n+2}) \right] \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, S_{x_i}) \right] \end{aligned} \right\} \Bigg/ \left. \begin{aligned} & 1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}) \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Since  $F$  is strictly increasing,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+2}, S_{x_i}) \\ & < \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}), \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, x_i^{2n+2}), \right. \\ & \quad \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, S_{x_i}), \\ & \quad \left. \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, x_i^{2n+2}) \right] \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, S_{x_i}) \right] \right\} \\ & \quad \Bigg/ \left. \begin{aligned} & 1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i^{2n+1}) \end{aligned} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit we get

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, S_{x_i}) < \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, S_{x_i}), \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore  $d_l(x_i, S_{x_i}) = 0, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , i.e.,  $x_i = S_{x_i}$  or  $x_i \in S_{x_i}$ . Therefore  $U = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$  is a fixed point of  $N$ -order of the mapping  $S$ . Similarly, using Lemma 1.9 and the inequality

$$\frac{\tau}{2N} + F(d_l(x_i^{2n+1}, T_{x_i})) \leq \frac{\tau}{2N} + F(H_{d_l}(S_{x_i^{2n}}, T_{x_i})), \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

we prove that  $U$  is a fixed point of  $N$ -order of the mapping  $T$ , i.e.,  $U$  is a common fixed point of  $N$ -order of the mappings  $S$  and  $T$ .

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} D_l(U, U) &= \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, x_i) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(x_i, S_{x_i}) + \sum_{i=1}^N d_l(S_{x_i}, x_i) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $(X, d_l)$  be a complete dislocated metric space and let  $S, T : X \rightarrow P(X)$  be such that for every  $x, y \in \{TS(x_n)\}$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\tau}{2} + F(H_{d_l}(S_x, T_y)) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}F\left(\max\left\{d_l(x, y), d_l(x, T_y), d_l(y, S_x), \frac{d_l(x, T_y)[1 + d_l(y, S_x)]}{1 + 2d_l(x, y)}\right\}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

where  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\tau > 0$ . Then  $\{TS(x_n)\} \rightarrow u \in X$ . Moreover, if  $u$  satisfies (2.16), then  $S$  and  $T$  have a common fixed point  $u$  in  $X$  and  $d_l(u, u) = 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abbas, B. Ali and S. Romaguera, *Fixed and periodic points of generalized contractions in metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2013**, 2013:243, 11 pp. MR 3338293.
- [2] Ö. Acar, G. Durmaz and G. Minak, *Generalized multivalued  $F$ -contractions on complete metric spaces*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **40** (2014), no. 6, 1469–1478. MR 3292060.
- [3] Ö. Acar and I. Altun, *A fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings with  $\delta$ -distance*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2014**, Art. ID 497092, 5 pp. MR 3246338.
- [4] J. Ahmad, A. Al-Rawashdeh and A. Azam, *New fixed point theorems for generalized  $F$ -contractions in complete metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2015**, 2015:80, 18 pp. MR 3377975.
- [5] S. A. Al-Mezel et al., *Discussion on “multidimensional coincidence points” via recent publications*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2014**, Art. ID 287492, 13 pp. MR 3208526.
- [6] H. H. Alsulami, E. Karapınar and H. Piri, *Fixed points of modified  $F$ -contractive mappings in complete metric-like spaces*, J. Funct. Spaces **2015**, Art. ID 270971, 9 pp. MR 3319201.
- [7] A. Amini-Harandi, *Metric-like spaces, partial metric spaces and fixed points*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2012**, 2012:204, 10 pp. MR 3017208.
- [8] S. Banach, *Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales*, Fund. Math. **3** (1922), 133–181. MR 3949898.
- [9] M. Berzig and B. Samet, *An extension of coupled fixed point’s concept in higher dimension and applications*, Comput. Math. Appl. **63** (2012), no. 8, 1319–1334. MR 2913025.
- [10] B. Deshpande and A. Handa,  *$n$ -tupled coincidence and fixed point result for hybrid pair of mappings under generalized nonlinear contraction*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **40** (2016), no. 5, 655–670. MR 3643148.
- [11] P. Hitzler and A. K. Seda, *Dislocated topologies*, J. Electr. Eng. (Slovakia) **51** (2000), no. 12/s, 3–7. [http://iris.elf.stuba.sk/cgi-bin/jeeec?act=abs&no=12s\\_100&tt1=2](http://iris.elf.stuba.sk/cgi-bin/jeeec?act=abs&no=12s_100&tt1=2)
- [12] N. Hussain and P. Salimi, *Suzuki-Wardowski type fixed point theorems for  $\alpha$ -GF-contractions*, Taiwanese J. Math. **18** (2014), no. 6, 1879–1895. MR 3284036.
- [13] M. Imdad et al., *On  $n$ -tupled coincidence point results in metric spaces*, J. Operators **2013** (2013), Article ID 532867, 8 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/532867>
- [14] E. Karapınar et al., *Fixed points of conditionally  $F$ -contractions in complete metric-like spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2015**, 2015:126, 14 pp. MR 3372179.
- [15] S. G. Matthews, *Partial metric topology*, in: Papers on general topology and applications. Papers from the 8th Summer Conference held at Queens College of the City University of New York, Flushing, NY, June 18–20, 1992, 183–197, Ann. New York Acad. Sci., 728, New York Acad. Sci., New York, 1994. MR 1467773.
- [16] M. Paknazar et al.,  *$N$ -fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2013**, 2013:111, 15 pp. MR 3071994.
- [17] H. Piri and P. Kumam, *Some fixed point theorems concerning  $F$ -contraction in complete metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2014**, 2014:210, 11 pp. MR 3357360.
- [18] S. B. Prešić, *Sur la convergence des suites*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **260** (1965), 3828–3830. MR 0176258.

- [19] S. B. Prešić, *Sur une classe d'inéquations aux différences finies et sur la convergence de certaines suites*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **5(19)** (1965), 75–78. MR 0188651.
- [20] T. Rasham et al., *Common fixed point results for new Ćirić-type rational multivalued  $F$ -contraction with an application*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **20** (2018), no. 1, Paper No. 45, 16 pp. MR 3765638.
- [21] A. Roldán, J. Martínez-Moreno and C. Roldán, *Multidimensional fixed point theorems in partially ordered complete metric spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **396** (2012), no. 2, 536–545. MR 2961247.
- [22] B. Samet and C. Vetro, *Coupled fixed point,  $F$ -invariant set and fixed point of  $N$ -order*, Ann. Funct. Anal. **1** (2010), no. 2, 46–56. MR 2772037.
- [23] K. Sawangsup, W. Sintunavarat and A. F. Roldán López de Hierro, *Fixed point theorems for  $F_{\mathfrak{R}}$ -contractions with applications to solution of nonlinear matrix equations*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **19** (2017), no. 3, 1711–1725. MR 3692423.
- [24] N.-A. Secelean, *Iterated function systems consisting of  $F$ -contractions*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2013**, 2013:277, 13 pp. MR 3213104.
- [25] M. Sgroi and C. Vetro, *Multi-valued  $F$ -contractions and the solution of certain functional and integral equations*, Filomat **27** (2013), no. 7, 1259–1268. MR 3243997.
- [26] A. Shoaib et al., *Fixed point results for  $\alpha_*$ - $\psi$ -Ćirić type multivalued mappings on an intersection of a closed ball and a sequence with graph*, J. Math. Anal. **7** (2016), no. 3, 41–50. MR 3523265.
- [27] D. Wardowski, *Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2012**, 2012:94, 6 pp. MR 2949666.
- [28] D. Wardowski, *Solving existence problems via  $F$ -contractions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **146** (2018), no. 4, 1585–1598. MR 3754343.

Deepa Karichery<sup>✉</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Central University of Kerala, Kerala 671316, India  
deepakarichery@gmail.com

Shaini Pulickakunnel

Department of Mathematics, Central University of Kerala, Kerala 671316, India  
shainipv@gmail.com

Received: February 4, 2019

Accepted: March 18, 2020