

## SELBERG ZETA-FUNCTION ASSOCIATED TO COMPACT RIEMANN SURFACE IS PRIME

RAMŪNAS GARUNKŠTIS

---

ABSTRACT. Let  $Z(s)$  be the Selberg zeta-function associated to a compact Riemann surface. We consider decompositions  $Z(s) = f(h(s))$ , where  $f$  and  $h$  are meromorphic functions, and show that such decompositions can only be trivial.

---

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We continue the investigation of decompositions of the Selberg zeta-function which was started in Garunkštis and Steuding [6]. First we reproduce required definitions. Let  $s = \sigma + it$  be a complex variable and  $X$  a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 2$  with constant negative curvature  $-1$ . The surface  $X$  can be written as a quotient  $\Gamma \backslash H$ , where  $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  is a strictly hyperbolic Fuchsian group and  $H$  is the upper half-plane of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then the Selberg zeta-function associated with  $X = \Gamma \backslash H$  is defined by (see Hejhal [8, § 2.4, Definition 4.1])

$$Z(s) = \prod_{\{P_0\}} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - N(P_0)^{-s-k}). \quad (1.1)$$

Here  $\{P_0\}$  is the conjugacy class of a primitive hyperbolic element  $P_0$  of  $\Gamma$  and  $N(P_0) = \alpha^2$  if the eigenvalues of  $P_0$  are  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^{-1}$  with  $|\alpha| > 1$ . Equation (1.1) defines the Selberg zeta-function in the half-plane  $\sigma > 1$ . The function  $Z(s)$  can be extended to an entire function (see [8, § 2.4, Theorem 4.25]).

**Definition 1.1** (Gross [7], Chuang and Yang [1, Section 3.2], [6]). Let  $F$  be a meromorphic function. Then an expression

$$F(z) = f(h(z)), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $f$  is meromorphic and  $h$  is entire ( $h$  may be meromorphic when  $f$  is a rational function), is called a *decomposition* of  $F$ , with  $f$  and  $h$  as its left and right components, respectively.  $F$  is said to be *prime* in the sense of a decomposition

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 11M36; Secondary: 30D99.

*Key words and phrases*. Selberg zeta-function, decompositions of meromorphic functions, prime function,  $a$ -points.

This research is funded by the European Social Fund (project no. 09.3.3-LMT-K-712-01-0037) under grant agreement with the Research Council of Lithuania (LMTLT).

if for every representation of  $F$  of the form (1.2) we have that either  $f$  or  $h$  is linear. If every representation of  $F$  of the form (1.2) implies that  $f$  is rational or  $h$  is a polynomial, we say that  $F$  is *pseudo-prime* in the sense of a decomposition. Furthermore,  $F$  is said to be *left-prime* (*right-prime*) if every factorization (1.2) implies that  $f$  is linear whenever  $h$  is transcendental ( $h$  is linear whenever  $f$  is transcendental).

Liao and Yang [10] showed that the Riemann zeta-function is prime. In [6] the following theorem is proved.

**Theorem A.** *The Selberg zeta-function  $Z$  associated with a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g$  is pseudo-prime and right-prime. Moreover, if  $Z(s) = f(h(s))$ , where  $f$  is rational and  $h$  is meromorphic, then  $f$  is a polynomial of degree  $k$ , where  $k$  divides  $2g - 2$ , and  $h$  is an entire function.*

Here we complete Theorem A.

**Theorem 1.2.** *The Selberg zeta-function  $Z$  associated with a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 2$  is prime.*

Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem A, the property that  $Z(s)$  has a simple zero at  $s = 1$  ([8, § 2.4, Theorem 4.11]), and the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.3.** *If there exist a polynomial  $P$  and an entire function  $h$  such that  $Z(s) = P(h(s))$  then the polynomial  $P$  has only one root in the complex plane (counting without multiplicities).*

The proof of Lemma 1.3 is based on the distribution of zeros of  $Z(s) - a$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ , (such zeros are called  $a$ -points of  $Z(s)$ ) and of zeros of  $Z'(s)$  in the left half-plane of  $\mathbb{C}$ . These zeros are described below.

The Selberg zeta-function  $Z(s)$  has trivial zeros at integers  $s = -n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , of multiplicity  $(2g - 2)(2n + 1)$ ; at  $s = 0$  with multiplicity  $2g - 1$ ; and an already mentioned zero at  $s = 1$  with multiplicity 1 (see [8, § 2.4, Theorem 4.11], also for nontrivial zeros).

For the trivial zeros of  $Z'(s)$ , Theorem 1 from [5] together with the equality  $\overline{Z(s)} = Z(\bar{s})$  give the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.4.**

- (i) *There is  $\sigma_0 \geq 1$  such that  $Z'(s) \neq 0$  in  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$ ;*
- (ii) *the function  $Z'(s)$  has zeros at  $s = n$  of multiplicity  $(2g - 2)(1 - 2n) - 1$  for any  $n \leq -1$ , and at  $s = 0$  of multiplicity  $2g - 2$ .*

Moreover, for any  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$ , there is a constant  $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon) \leq -1$  such that

- (iii)  *$Z'(s)$  has a simple real zero in the disc  $|s + 1/2 - n| \leq \varepsilon$  for any  $n \leq n_0$ ;*
- (iv)  *$Z'(s)$  has no other zeros in  $\sigma \leq n_0$  except those mentioned in (ii) and (iii).*

For more about the zeros of the derivative of the Selberg zeta-function see [4, 11, 12].

For the  $a$ -points of  $Z(s)$  we will prove the following two statements.

**Proposition 1.5.** *Let  $b > 0$  and  $1/6 < r < 1/2$ . Then there exists a negative number  $N = N(Z, b, r)$  such that, for  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $0 < |a| \leq b$ , the function  $Z(s) - a$  has  $(2g-2)(1-2n)$  simple zeros in  $|s-n| < r$ , where  $n < N$  are integers. Furthermore,  $Z(s) - a$  has no other zeros in  $\sigma < N$ .*

On the other hand, Proposition 1.4 implies that, for sufficiently large negative  $n$ , a neighborhood of  $n + 1/2$  contains a double zero of  $Z(s) - Z(n + 1/2)$ .

Using Proposition 1.5 and the particular kind of polynomials  $P(z) = z^k + C$  we can easily demonstrate the main idea of the proof of Lemma 1.3. Indeed, let

$$Z(s) = h(s)^k + C,$$

where  $C \neq 0$  and  $h(s)$  is an entire function. Then all zeros of  $Z(s) - C$  are at least of order  $k$ . By Proposition 1.5 we see that  $k = 1$  and Lemma 1.3 is true for this particular kind of polynomials. To consider the general case we will need the following consequence of Proposition 1.5.

**Corollary 1.6.** *Let  $a : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$  be a continuous function. Then for any sufficiently large negative  $n$  there are  $(2g - 2)(2n + 1)$  continuous functions  $s_j : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that, for each  $j$ , we have  $Z(s_j(x)) = a(x)$ ,  $|s_j(x) - n| < 1/3$ , and  $s_j(x) \neq s_m(x)$  if  $j \neq m$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ .*

In the last corollary,  $1/3$  can be replaced by any number  $r$ ,  $1/6 < r < 1/2$ . Various properties of  $a$ -points of Selberg zeta-functions were considered in [2, 3].

The next section contains the proofs of Proposition 1.5, Corollary 1.6, and Lemma 1.3.

## 2. PROOFS

*Proof of Proposition 1.5.* We have (see [8, § 2.4, Theorem 4.12])

$$Z(s) = f(s)Z(1 - s), \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$f(s) = \exp \left( \text{area}(X) \int_0^{s-1/2} v \tan(\pi v) dv \right).$$

It is known ([5, Lemma 6]) that, for  $t \geq 0$  and  $s$  not an integer,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{s-1/2} v \tan(\pi v) dv \\ &= \frac{i(s - 1/2)^2}{2} - \frac{s - 1/2}{\pi} \log(1 + e^{2\pi i(s-1/2)}) + \frac{i}{2\pi^2} \text{Li}_2(-e^{2\pi i(s-1/2)}) + \frac{i}{24}, \end{aligned}$$

where the integration is along the straight line segment joining the origin to  $s - 1/2$  if  $s$  is not on the real line; if  $s$  is on the real line, and not an integer, we define the integral by the requirement of continuity as  $s$  is approached from the upper half-plane; furthermore, the branch of the logarithm is chosen such that

$$-\pi/2 \leq \Im \log(1 + e^{2\pi i(s-1/2)}) \leq \pi/2.$$

Then, for  $\sigma \rightarrow -\infty$ ,

$$|f(s)| = \exp \left( \text{area}(X) \left( -(\sigma - 1/2)t - \frac{\sigma - 1/2}{\pi} \log |1 + e^{2i\pi(s-1/2)}| + O(|t| + 1) \right) \right) \quad (2.2)$$

uniformly in  $t \geq 0$ . Let

$$g(\sigma, t) = t + \frac{1}{\pi} \log |1 + e^{2i\pi(\sigma-1/2+it)}|.$$

We will observe that there is  $\delta_r > 0$  such that

$$g(\sigma, t) > \delta_r, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $s = \sigma + it$  lies on the semicircle  $|s - n| = r$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $1/6 < r < 1/2$ . Note that  $g(x + n, t) = g(-x + n, t)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus it is enough to prove (2.3) for the following quarter of the circle:  $|s - n| = r$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq \sigma - n \leq r$ , which we parametrize by  $t = x$ ,  $\sigma = \sqrt{r^2 - x^2} + n$ ,  $x \in [0, r]$ . Consequently we consider the function

$$q(x) = g(\sqrt{r^2 - x^2} + n, x).$$

Straightforward calculations show that  $q(0) > 0$  and  $q'(x) > 0$  for  $0 \leq x \leq r$ ,  $1/6 < r \leq 1/2$ . This establishes the inequality (2.3).

Hence, for any given real positive number  $Y$  and  $1/6 < r < 1/2$ , there is a negative number  $M = M(Y, r)$  such that

$$|f(s)| = \exp(\text{area}(X)(-(\sigma - 1/2)g(\sigma, t) + O(|t| + 1))) > Y,$$

if  $|s - n| = r$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , and  $n < M$ . The Dirichlet series expansion of  $Z(s)$  yields

$$|Z(s)| > 1/2 \quad (2.4)$$

if  $\sigma$  is sufficiently large. Note that

$$\overline{Z(s)} = Z(\bar{s}). \quad (2.5)$$

Then Rouché's theorem gives that for sufficiently large negative  $n$  the functions  $Z(s)$  and  $Z(s) - a$  have the same number of zeros in the disc  $|s - n| \leq r$ . In this disc  $Z(s)$  has only one distinct zero at  $s = n$  and clearly  $Z(n) \neq a$ . This,  $1/6 < r < 1/2$ , and Proposition 1.4 give that  $Z(s) - a$  and  $(Z(s) - a)' = Z'(s)$  have no common zeros in  $\sigma < N$ . Accordingly, all zeros of  $Z(s) - a$  located in  $|s - n| \leq r$  are simple.

It remains to show that for any sufficiently large negative  $n$  the area  $\{s : |s - n| > r, n - 1/2 \leq \sigma \leq n + 1/2\}$  is free from zeros of  $Z(s) - a$ . This follows by the inequalities  $\partial g(\sigma, t)/\partial t > 0$  if  $t > 0$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $g(\sigma, 0) > 0$  if  $|\sigma - 1/2 - n| < 1/3$ , together with formulas (2.1)–(2.5). Proposition 1.5 is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.1.** *If the polynomial  $P(z)$  has at least two different roots, then there is a nonzero constant  $c$  such that  $P(z) - c$  has a multiple root.*

*Proof.* Let  $\deg P = k \geq 2$ . Conversely to the statement of the lemma, suppose that the roots of  $P(z) - c$  are simple for all  $c \neq 0$ . Then  $(P(z) - c)' = P'(z)$  has no common roots with  $P(z) - c$  for any  $c \neq 0$ . Therefore, for any root  $z'_j$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$ , of  $P'(z)$ , we have  $P(z'_j) = 0$ . This is possible only if  $P(z) = a(z - z'_1)^k$  and  $z'_j = z'_1$ , for all  $j \in \{2, \dots, k - 1\}$ . The contradiction obtained proves the lemma.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.6.* By Proposition 1.5, for any large negative  $n$  and fixed  $x \in [0, 1]$ , there are exactly  $(2g - 2)(n + 1)$  simple zeros  $s_j(x)$  of  $Z(s) - a(x)$  in the disc  $|s - n| < 1/3$ . Then the corollary follows by the implicit function theorem ([9, Theorem 2.4.1]) from which we see that  $Z(s)$  is a one-to-one function in some neighborhood of each  $s_j(x)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, (2g - 2)(n + 1)$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 1.3.* Note that  $P$  cannot be a constant polynomial. To obtain a contradiction, assume that  $Z(s) = P(h(s))$  and the polynomial  $P$ ,  $\deg P = k$ , has at least two different roots. Then Lemma 2.1 implies the existence of  $a_1$  such that  $P'(a_1) = 0$  and  $P(a_1) \neq 0$ . Therefore we can write

$$P(z) - P(a_1) = d(z - a_1)^{k_1} \dots (z - a_m)^{k_m}, \tag{2.6}$$

where  $k_1 \geq 2$  and  $k_1 + \dots + k_m = k$ . In view of Proposition 1.5 there are infinitely many zeros of  $Z(s) - P(a_1)$  each of which lies at a distance smaller than  $1/3$  from some negative integer. Thus there are an infinite subset  $S$  of these zeros and  $a_j$  defined by (2.6) such that  $h(\rho) - a_j = 0$  for  $\rho \in S$ . If  $k_j \geq 2$  then the zeros  $\rho$  are multiple zeros of  $Z(s) - P(a_1)$  and this contradicts Proposition 1.5. Hence  $k_j = 1$ ,  $P'(a_j) \neq 0$ , and by (2.6) we see that  $j \geq 2$ . Therefore there is a continuous function  $a : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , such that  $a(0) = a_j$ ,  $a(1) = a_1$ , and

$$P'(a(x)) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } x \in [0, 1]. \tag{2.7}$$

By Corollary 1.6 there is a continuous function  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\psi(0) \in S$ ,

$$Z(\psi(x)) = P(a(x)), \tag{2.8}$$

and, for  $x \in [0, 1]$  and some large negative integer  $n$ ,

$$|\psi(x) - n| < 1/3.$$

Note that  $Z(\psi(x)) = P(h(\psi(x)))$ . To get the contradiction we will show that  $h(\psi(1)) = a_1$ . By (2.8)

$$P(h(\psi(x))) = P(a(x)).$$

In view of (2.7) we have that  $P(z)$  is a one-to-one function in a sufficiently small neighborhood of any  $a(x)$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $h(\psi(0)) = a(0)$  leads to the equality  $h(\psi(x)) = a(x)$  for  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Continuity gives  $h(\psi(1)) = a(1) = a_1$  and thus  $z = \psi(1)$  is a multiple zero of  $Z(z) - Z(\psi(1))$ . This contradicts Proposition 1.5 and proves Lemma 1.3.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] C.-T. Chuang and C.-C. Yang, *Fix-points and factorization of meromorphic functions*, World Scientific Publishing Teaneck, NJ, 1990. Translated from the Chinese. MR 1050548.
- [2] R. Garunkštis and R. Šimėnas, The  $a$ -values of the Selberg zeta-function, *Lith. Math. J.* **52** (2012), no. 2, 145–154. MR 2915767.
- [3] R. Garunkštis, J. Steuding and R. Šimėnas, The  $a$ -points of the Selberg zeta-function are uniformly distributed modulo one, *Illinois J. Math.* **58** (2014), no. 1, 207–218. MR 3331847.
- [4] R. Garunkštis, Note on zeros of the derivative of the Selberg zeta-function, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **91** (2008), no. 3, 238–246. MR 2439597. Corrigendum, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **93** (2009), no. 2, 143–145.
- [5] R. Garunkštis, Zero-free regions for derivatives of the Selberg zeta-function, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **93** (2018), no. 3-4, 369–385. MR 3875342.
- [6] R. Garunkštis and J. Steuding, On primeness of the Selberg zeta-function, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **49** (2020), no. 3, 451–462. MR 4187117.
- [7] F. Gross, On factorization of meromorphic functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131** (1968), 215–222. MR 0220936.
- [8] D. A. Hejhal, *The Selberg trace formula for  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, R)$ . Vol. I*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 548, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976. MR 0439755.
- [9] S. G. Krantz and H. R. Parks, *The implicit function theorem*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2013. MR 2977424.
- [10] L. Liao and C.-C. Yang, On some new properties of the gamma function and the Riemann zeta function, *Math. Nachr.* **257** (2003), 59–66. MR 1992811.
- [11] W. Luo, On zeros of the derivative of the Selberg zeta function, *Amer. J. Math.* **127** (2005), no. 5, 1141–1151. MR 2170140.
- [12] M. Minamide, The zero-free region of the derivative of Selberg zeta functions, *Monatsh. Math.* **160** (2010), no. 2, 187–193. MR 2644220.

*Ramūnas Garunkštis*

Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Vilnius University,  
Naugarduko 24, 03225 Vilnius, Lithuania

ramunas.garunkstis@mif.vu.lt

URL: <http://www.mif.vu.lt/~garunkstis>

*Received: August 9, 2019*

*Accepted: April 21, 2020*